

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1 through 4 and 6 through 14 remain in this application. Claims 1, 7 and 13 have been amended.

Claims 1 through 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0046299 to Lefebre, et al. (Lefebre hereafter) and U.S. Patent No. US 6,493,744 to Emens, et al. (Emens hereafter). Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable in view of Lefebre and Emens in further view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0182391 to Leber, et al. (Leber hereafter).

Independent claim 1 provides, *inter alia*, inputting a rule set into the telecommunications device by downloading the rule set from a network operator, in which the rule set comprises a plurality of rules, each of which relates to a respective predetermined message content. Independent claims 7 and 13 as amended provide, *inter alia*, a transceiver configured to download a rule set from a network operator for input into the telecommunications device, in which the rule set comprises a plurality of rules, each of which relates to a respective predetermined message content.

In contrast, Lefebre discloses downloading information from a network to a mobile device to be used in conjunction with already installed applications (paragraph [0083]). The two examples of downloadable information are (1) appointment information for a date book application on the device, and (2) information pertaining to alert and priority rules that display alerts at the device (such as special rings) when certain signals are received. Lefebre does not describe or suggest downloading, from a network to a device, a rule set relating to predetermined message content, as required by claims 1, 7 and 13.

Lefebre also describes receiving an SMS signal, interpreting the text message, and displaying the alert text (paragraph [0074]). However, Lefebre does not describe or suggest that the downloaded information (described at paragraph [0083]) may be used, in any way, for interpreting the text message of an SMS, let alone including a rule set relating to a predetermined

message content, as required by claims 1, 7 and 13. Therefore, claims 1, 7 and 13 distinguish patentably from Lefeber.

Likewise, Emens and Leber do not describe or suggest downloading the rule set from a network operator, as required by claims 1, 7, and 13. Therefore, claims 1, 7 and 13 distinguish patentably from Lefeber, Emens, Leber, and the suggestion combination of these references.

Claims 2 through 6, 8 through 12 and 14 depend from and include all limitations of claims 1, 7 and 13. Therefore, claims 2 through 6, 8 through 12 and 14 distinguish patentably from Lefeber, Emens, Leber, and the suggestion combination of these references for the reasons stated above for claims 1, 7 and 13 above.

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 1 through 4 and 6 through 14

### **CONCLUSION**

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (HDW)  
600 North US Highway 45  
Libertyville, IL 60048

/HISASHI D. WATANABE/ 08/04/2009  
Hisashi D. Watanabe Date  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 37,465  
Telephone: (847) 523-2322  
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350